

### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2766 PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

June 21, 2001

TO:

**Audit Committee** 

FROM:

J. Tyler Manauley Auditor-Controller

SUBJECT: SUNSET REVIEW FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CITIZENS'

**ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY COMMISSION** 

### **RECOMMENDATION**

The Audit Committee recommend to the Board of Supervisors (Board) that the sunset review date for the Los Angeles County Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission (Commission) be extended to July 1, 2006.

### **BACKGROUND**

The Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission was established and has been continued by Board order pursuant to Chapter 3.16 of the Los Angeles County Code. The Board approved sunset date for the Commission is July 1, 2001. Commission's mission is to examine functions of County government at the request of the Board or on its own initiative, and to submit recommendations to the Board to improve local government economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

The Commission consists of twenty-one members; four appointed by each Supervisor and the Los Angeles County Grand Jury's preceding years Foreperson. Members serve without compensation. The Commission holds a maximum of twelve meetings per year. Presently, there is one vacancy.

### **JUSTIFICATION**

The Commission was formed and continues for the purpose of improving County government economy, efficiency and effectiveness. It encourages community involvement in local government decision-making and provides the Board with citizen input on policy and operational issues facing the County. In addition, the commissioners are involved in task forces that meet on an as-needed basis, usually monthly, in addition to their regularly scheduled meetings.

During this review period, the Commission conducted several studies and issued reports that had a significant impact upon the management and operations of County government. For example, the Commission issued a report on the relationship between the County and State government. The Board agreed that the Commission, along with the Chief Administrative Office (CAO), should implement several of the recommendations contained in the report. As a result, the Commission and the CAO are currently examining property tax allocations and sales tax distribution options available to the County.

In addition, the Commission issued a report analyzing the Internal Services Department's (ISD) restructuring actions taken as the result of previous Commission and CAO recommendations. This report included an analysis of how ISD was impacted by these recommendations, an evaluation of actions taken by ISD and recommendations for ISD policy and operational improvements.

During this review period, the Commission also received awards from the National Center for Public Productivity Award for exemplary State and local programs, the Public Employees' Roundtable Award for public service excellence and the Governmental Research Association Award for effective citizen education.

The Commission is currently working on several projects, including reviews of the Independent Living Program within the Department of Children and Family Services and Alternatives for Improving the Economic Development within Los Angeles County. In the future, the Commission plans to follow-up on past efforts and increase its focus to improve County government economy, efficiency and effectiveness. In addition, the Commission will continue to respond to specific Board assignments, as well as focus on issues it believes are critical to the County.

Please call me if you have any questions, or you may call DeWitt Roberts at (213) 974-0301.

JTM:DR:JS Attachments

c: Robert H. Philibosian, Chairman, Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission Bruce J. Staniforth, Executive Director, Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission

## COMMISSION SUNSET REVIEW LOS ANGELES COUNTY CITIZENS' ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY COMMISSION REVIEW COMMENTS

**Mission.** (Does the mission statement agree with the Board of Supervisors' purpose and expectations?)

Stated mission is as set forth in the ordinance creating the Commission. **CONCUR** 

**Section 1. Relevancy.** (Is the mission still relevant and in agreement with the Board of Supervisors' purpose and expectations?)

The Commission continues to promote improving the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of County government through its various studies. **RELEVANT** 

**Section 2. Meetings and Attendance.** (Are required meetings held and is attendance satisfactory?)

Meetings are required and held monthly Average attendance has been approximately thirteen commissioners per meeting. **SATISFACTORY** 

**Sections 3 and 4. Accomplishments and Results.** (Are listed accomplishments and results significant?)

During this review period, the Commission conducted several studies and issued reports that had a significant impact upon the management and operations of County government, including:

- A study of the relationship between the County and State government and published a report on its findings. The Board agreed that the Commission, along with the Chief Administrative Office (CAO), should implement several of the recommendations contained in the report. As a result, the Commission and the CAO are currently examining property tax allocations and sales tax distribution options available to the County.
- A report analyzing the Internal Services Department's (ISD) restructuring actions taken as the result of previous Commission and CAO recommendations. This report included an analysis of how ISD was impacted by these recommendations, an evaluation of actions taken by ISD and recommendations for ISD policy and operational improvements. <u>SIGNIFICANT</u>

**Section 5. Objectives.** (Are the objectives compatible with the mission and goals and relevant within the current County environment?)

As the County faces fiscal uncertainties in future years, it will need to find ways to improve the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of its operations. In the future, the Commission plans to follow-up on past efforts and increase its focus on issues designed to improve County government. In addition, it will continue to respond to specific Board assignments, as well as focus on issues it believes are critical to the County. **RELEVANT** 

**Section 6. Resources.** (Are the resources utilized by the entity in support of the entity's activities warranted in terms of the accomplishments and results?)

The Commission does not have a separate budget and members serve without compensation. The Board Executive Office provides funds for the Commission's Executive Director's salary and for supplies. Studies of specific department operations are generally funded by these departments. **WARRANTED** 

### Section 7. Recommendation:

EXTEND THE SUNSET REVIEW DATE FOR THE CITIZENS' ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY COMMISSION TO JULY 1, 2006.

Organization Name: Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission

Contact Person: Bruce J. Staniforth, Executive Director, 974-1491

Mission: The Economy and Efficiency Commission is created to examine any function of County government at the request of the Board of Supervisors, on its own initiative, or as suggested by others. The Commission will conduct reviews of all aspects of local government management, operations and policies. After these reviews, the Commission will submit recommendations to the Board with the objective of improving the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of local government.

Requested Sunset Date: July 1, 2006

frequently held several times a month.

## ORGANIZATION'S SELF EVALUATION

July 1, 1997 to July 1, 2001

Note: Commissioners will often attend Task Force meetings in addition to the regularly scheduled Commission meetings noted above. In the course of a project these meetings are

#### REVIEW COMMENTS

Section 1. How does the mission of the organization remain relevant in	the current County environment?
The Commission strongly believes that the Economy and Efficiency Commission contributes perspectives and insights that are not available from the professional bureaucracy of the County. The Commission has the unique capability to contribute to the improvement of County operations. Given the present and potential organizational and fiscal problems facing the County, the contributions of the Commission have made increasingly valuable improvements to County policy, organization, operations, management, and cost effectiveness.	

# Section 2. Meetings and Attendance. Meetings: Required: Monthly Scheduled: 12 Per Year Held: (4/00-4/01) - 11 Date of last meeting: April 5, 2001 Average attendance Per meetings: 14 Membership: 21 positions, 20 currently appointed

Organization Name: Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission

### **Section 3.** Identify the organization's accomplishments (output/products). **Products Since Last Reporting Period:** • Accountability and Municipal Service Delivery to Unincorporated Areas • Internal Services Department (ISD) Restructuring Review • Synopsis of Publications, Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission • Review of the Relationship between Los Angeles County and State Government • An Investigation into the Processing of an Employee Suggestion Synopsis of Publications, Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission • Evaluation of Receivables Tracking and Collections System: A Blueprint for Change • Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission Report Writing Guide Synopsis of Publications, Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission • A Follow-up Review on the Implementation of the Recommendations made in the Commission Report on Accountability in Municipal Service Delivery

## Section. 4. Identify the outcome (results) of the organization's accomplishments during the evaluation period. The work of the Commission over this period has had a significant impact upon the management and operations of County Government. Of particular note are the reports that were completed in departmental restructuring, policy development, the creation of the Office of Unincorporated Areas Services within the Chief Administrative Office, and revisions to receivables management. A majority of the Commission's recommendations have been adopted by the Board of Supervisors. These actions have enabled the County to reduce costs while increasing efficiency and effectiveness of operations.

------

Organization Name: Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission

the County.

### **Section 5.** What is the organization's objectives for the upcoming evaluation period? The County faces numerous operational problems that can be positively influenced by the work of the Commission. Specifically, the Commission is currently working on the following projects: 1. A Review of the Bifurcated Structure of the Civil Grand Jury 2. A Review of the Independent Living Program within the Department of Children and Family Services 3. A Review of Alternatives for Improving the Economic Development within the County of Los Angeles 4. The development of additional proposed Commission projects The Commission anticipates that future action will be taken to both follow-up on past efforts and to increase its focus on Commission identified items designed to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of county government. la addition to the specific areas identified above: 1. The Commission continues to remains available to the Board to accomplish specific assignments. 2. The Commission will also continue to focus on issues that it believes are critical to

Organization Name: Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission

Section 6. Identify the	organizations' use of resour	ces (inputs) over the	evaluation pe	eriod.	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL			 
DIRECT COSTS:	20202122	11010112			
Personnel	There is no separate b	oudget for the			
Services & Supplies	Economy and Efficience	_			
Travel	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Commissioners serve without compensation			
Other	and are not reimburse	-			
Total Direct Costs	\$	\$			
INDIRECT COSTS					
Personnel	\$	\$			
Overhead					
Other					
Total Indirect	\$	\$			
Costs					
<b>TOTAL COSTS</b>	\$	\$			
OTHER RESOURCES					
(ADMINISTRATIVE)					
			•		
Section 7. Recommend	lation.				
			1		

### Los Angeles County Citizens' Economy and Efficiency Commission

NOMINATED BY			TERM EXPIRES SEPTEMBER 1
Molina	Mr. Roman Padilla (M) 718 North Hyacinth Avenue West Covina, California 91791 Date of Reappointment: 06/13/2000	(B) (626) 332-3466 (R) (626) 339-1005	2001 4th Tenn
Molina	Mr. Jonathan S. Fuhmman (M) 3220 East Sierra Madre Pasadena, California 91107 Date of Reappointment: 02/01/2000	(B) (818) 551-7041 (R) (818) 449-7655	2001 5th Term
Molina	Ms. Julia Sylva (M) c/o Brand and Farrar 515 South Flower Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90071 Date of Reappointment: 07/05/2000	(B) (213) 426-6224 (R)	2001 3rd Tenn
Molina	Vacant	(B) (R)	1999
Burke	The Honorable Alfred P. Balderrama (M) Councilmenter City of Monterey Park 901 West Newmark Avenue Monterey Park, California 91754 Date of Reappointment: 05/11/1999	(B) (213) 229-0977 (R) (626) 284-6472	2001 5th Term
Burke	David Farrar, Esq. (M) Law Finn of Brand, Farrar, Dziubla & Kolstad 515 S. Flower Street, Suite 3500 Los Angeles, California 90071-2201 Date of Reappointment: 10/05/1999	(B) (213) 426-6269 (R)	2001 4th Term
Burke	The Honorable G. Thomas Thompson (M) P.O. Box 7427 Long Beach, California 90807 Date of Appointment: 11/09/1999	(B) (R) (562) 427-7731	2001 1st Term

(Continued)

NOMINATED BY			TERM EXPIRES SEPTEMBER 1
Burke	Mr. Chun Y. Lee (M) 3440 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1214 Los Angeles, California 90036-9657 Date of Reappointment: 11/04/1997	(B) (213) 252-1600 (R) (323) 939-3294	1999 4th Temi
Yaroslavsky	Ms. Hope J. Boonshaft (F) Senior Vice President External Affairs Sony Pictures Entertainment 10202 W. Washington Blvd., Thalberg, #1104 Culver City, California 90232 Date of Reappointment: 10/03/2000	(B) (310) 244-6660 (R)	2001 1st Temi
Yaroslavsky	Mr. Tony Lucente (M) Corporate Manager Corporate Relations Nissan North America, Inc. Post Office Box 191 Gardena, California 90248-0191 Date of Reappointment: 10/19/1999	Internal Communications (B) (310) 771-2158 (R) (818) 980-2401	2001 2nd Temi
Yaroslavsky	Ms. Annie E. Cho (F) Jin Woo Communications Group 19360 Rinaldi Street, #509 Northridge, California 91326 Date of Appointment: 12/19/2000	(B) (818) 970-7052 (R)	2001
Yaroslavsky	Ms. Joanne Baltierrez (F) 1991 Glenoaks Boulevard, #162 San Fernando, California 91340 Date of Appointment: 10/03/2000	(B) (818) 361-1836 (R)	2001
Knabe	Mr. Tony Tortorice (M) 324 Carroll Park West Long Beach, California 90804 Date of Reappointment: 01/30/1996	(B) (213) 236-3210 (R) (310) 438-1550	1997 1st Tenn
Knabe	Ms. Jaclyn Tilley Hill (F) 346 Salta Verde Point Long Beach, California 90803 Date of Appointment: 11/30/1999	(B) (562) 498-3716 (R)	2001 1st Term
Knabe	Mr. William Petak (M) 6044 Mossbank Drive Rancho Palos Verdes, California 90274 Date of Reappointment: 03/07/2000	(B) (213) 740-4059 (R) (310) 377-3872	2001 3rd Term

(Continued)

NOMINATED BY			TERM EXPIRES SEPTEMBER 1
Knabe	Mr. Robert Philibosian (M) Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton 333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1406 Date of Reappointment: 03/07/2000	Chairman (B) (213) 620-1780 (R)	2001 5th Term
Antonovich	Royal F. Oakes, Esq. (M) Law Firm of Barger & Wolen 515 S. Flower Street, Suite 3400 Los Angeles, California 90071 Date of Appointment: 09/14/1999	(B) (213) 680-2800 (R)	2001 1st Term
Antonovich	Mr. John C. Crowley (M) 615 Linda Vista Avenue Pasadena, California 91105-1122 Date of Reappointment: 10/19/1999	(B) (R) (626) 795-8221	2001 3rd Temi
Antonovich	* Mr. Isaac Diaz Barcelona (M) 38001 26th Street East Palmdale, California 93550 Date of Appointment: 03/06/2001	(B) (661) 266-7289 (R) (661) 273-2113	2001
Antonovich	Mr. H. Randall Stoke (M) 1040 Hampton Road Arcadia, California 91006 Date of Reappointment: 10/05/1999	(B) (R) (626) 447-8922	2001 3rd Temi
Board of Supervisors	Mr. Clayton R. Anderson (M) 11755 Spade Spring Canyon Agua Dulce, California 91350 (Retired Foreperson, Grand Jury) (ROTATIONAL) Date of Appointment: 08/01/2000	(B) (661) 268-8932 (R)	2001
	Mr. Bruce J. Staniforth Executive Director Los Angeles County Citizens Economy and Efficiency Commission 500 West Temple Street, Room 163 Los Angeles, California 90012	Contact (B) (213) 974-1491 (R) (805) 984-4116	

<sup>\*</sup> Change Revised 04/01/2001

### LOS ANGELES COUNTY CITIZENS' ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY COMMISSION ATTENDANCE RECORD

Jonathan S. Fuhrman   Moline   2   2   1   1   1   2   1   1   1   1	Commissioner	Nominated By	3/31/98	6/30/98	9/30/98	12/31/98	3/31/99	6/30/99	9/30/99	12/31/99	3/31/00	6/30/00	9/30/00	12/31/00	3/31/01	Totals	% Attend
Jonathan S. Fuhrman   Molina   2   2   1   1   1   2   1   1   1   2   1   1	Number of Meetings per	Quarter>	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	36	
Julia Sylva	Roman Padilla	Molina	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	3	2	1	3	2	25	
Carol Gleda-Kimbrough   Molina	Jonathan S. Fuhrman		2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1		
Alfred P. Balderram			3	2	1				1	3	3	3	2	3	2		
David Farrar, Esq.   Burke   2   3   1   3   3   2   2   2   3   3   2   2   1   29   81%			1	1	2			2		1							
Christopher W. Hammond Burke 1 2 0 0 0 2 0 1					1			1	_		-		1		1		
G. Thomas Thompson Burke			2	,	1	3		2	2	2	3	3	2	2	1	29	
Chun Y. Lee Burke 3 2 1 1 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 3 0 83% Hope J. Boonshaft Yaroslavsky 1 2 2 1 1 3 1 2 2 3 3 3 1 1 3 0 0 25 74% Michael A. Jimenez Yaroslavsky 1 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 1 1 1 3 0 0 25 74% David A. Abel Yaroslavsky 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 0 2 2 76% Topy Lucente Yaroslavsky 3 2 1 1 3 3 3 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Christopher W. Hammond	Burke	1	2	0	0	2	0	1							6	
Hope J. Boonshaft	G. Thomas Thompson	Burke								1	2	1	1	2	2	9	
Michael A. Jimenez   Yaroslavsky   1	Chun Y. Lee	Burke	3	2	1	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	30	
David A. Abel   Yaroslavsky   3   3   2   3   3   2   2   2   2   2	Hope J. Boonshaft	Yaroslavsky	1	2	2	1	3	1	2	3	3	3	1	3	0	25	74%
Tony Lucente Yaroslavsky 3 2 1 3 3 0 2 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 8 78% Annie E. Cho Yaroslavsky	Michael A. Jimenez	Yaroslavsky	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	2	3	1	1			19	100%
Annie E. Cho Varoslavsky Joanne Baltierrez Yaroslavsky Joanne Baltierrez Yaroslavsky Dana/Knabe 1 2 0 2 1 0 0 0 1 2 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 31% Gunther W. Buerk, Ph.D. Dana 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 2 1 1 3 3 60% Gunther W. Buerk, Ph.D. Dana 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 3 2 1 4 88% William Petak Dana/Knabe Dana/Knabe 2 2 0 0 2 2 1 0 0 3 3 3 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 58% Robert Philibosian Dana/Knabe 2 2 0 0 3 3 2 1 2 3 2 27 75% Richards D. Barger Antonovich 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	David A. Abel	Yaroslavsky	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	0				22	76%
Joanne Baltierrez   Yaroslavsky	Tony Lucente	Yaroslavsky	3	2	1	3	3	0	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	28	78%
Tony Tortorice	Annie E. Cho	Yaroslavsky													1	1	50%
Gunther W. Buerk, Ph.D. Dana 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 2 3 2 3 2 14 88%   Milliam Petak Dana/Knabe 2 2 2 0 0 2 2 1 0 0 3 3 3 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 5 88   Robert Philibosian Dana/Knabe 2 2 2 0 0 3 3 3 2 1 1 0 0 3 3 3 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 7 55%   Richards D. Barger Antonovich 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Joanne Baltierrez	Yaroslavsky												2	1	3	60%
Jackyn Tilley Hill	Tony Tortorice	Dana/Knabe	1	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	11	31%
William Petak         Dana/Knabe         2         2         0         2         2         1         0         3         3         1         1         2         2         21         58%           Robert Philibosian         Dana/Knabe         2         2         0         3         3         2         1         2         3         3         1         3         2         27         75%           Richards D. Barger         Antonovich         1         2         2         1         1         1         2         3         3         1         1         3         2         27         75%           Royal F. Oakes, Esq.         Antonovich         1         2         2         2         2         2         3         3         2         1         1         2         12         75%           John C. Crowley         Antonovich         2         1         1         1	Gunther W. Buerk, Ph.D.	Dana	2	2	2	3	2	2								13	76%
Robert Philibosian         Dana/Knabe         2         2         0         3         3         2         1         2         3         3         1         3         2         27         75%           Richards D. Barger         Antonovich         1         2         2         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         2         2         1         1         1         1         1         1         2         12         12         75%           Boyal F. Oakes, Esq.         Antonovich         2         2         2         2         2         3         3         2         1         1         2         12         75%           John C. Crowley         Antonovich         2         2         2         2         3         1         2         3         3         1         1         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         1         1         3         3         3         1         1         1         2         2         2         3         2         2	Jaclyn Tilley Hill	Knabe								1	3	3	2	3	2	14	88%
Richards D. Barger Antonovich 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1	William Petak	Dana/Knabe	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	3	3	1	1	2	2	21	58%
Royal F. Oakes, Esq.         Antonovich         3         3         2         1         1         2         12         75%           John C. Crowley         Antonovich         2         2         2         2         3         1         2         3         3         1         1         2         2         2         26         72%           Marc A. Seidner         Antonovich         0         1         1         0         0         1         1         1         2         0         2         1         10         29%           H. Randall Stoke         Antonovich         2         2         1         3         3         2         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3         3         2         2         3         <	Robert Philibosian	Dana/Knabe	2	2	0	3	3	2	1	2	3	3	1	3	2	27	75%
John C. Crowley         Antonovich         2         2         2         2         3         1         2         3         3         1         1         2         2         2         2         6         72%           Marc A. Seidner         Antonovich         0         1         1         0         0         1         1         2         0         2         1         10         29%           H. Randall Stoke         Antonovich         2         2         1         3         3         2         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         3	Richards D. Barger	Antonovich	1	2	2	1	1	1								8	47%
Marc A. Seidner         Antonovich         0         1         1         0         0         1         1         1         2         0         2         1         10         29%           H. Randall Stoke         Antonovich         2         2         1         3         3         2         2         2         3         2         2         3         2         2         9         81%           Jeanne L. Kennedy         Board         Board         1         3         3         3         3         3         10         83%           Benjamin F. Breslauer         Board         2         2         1         3         2         2         2         1         3         2         6         86%           Clayton R. Anderson         Board         3         4         4         4         4         30         27         43         53         35         26         42         30         477	Royal F. Oakes, Esq.	Antonovich								3	3	2	1	1	2	12	75%
H. Randall Stoke Antonovich 2 2 1 3 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 8 81%  Jeanne L. Kennedy Board 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 10 83%  Benjamin F. Breslauer Board 2 2 1 3 2 2 1 3 2 2 1 1 3 1 2 1 1 1 1 1	John C. Crowley	Antonovich	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	3	3	1	1	2	2	26	72%
Jeanne L. Kennedy         Board         Board         1         3         3         3         3         10         83%           Benjamin F. Breslauer         Board         2         2         1         3         2         2         1         12         71%           Clayton R. Anderson         Board         1         3         2         6         86%           Totals         38         42         24         45         42         30         27         43         53         35         26         42         30         477	Marc A. Seidner	Antonovich	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	2	1		10	29%
Benjamin F. Breslauer         Board         2         2         1         3         2         2         3         1         71%           Clayton R. Anderson         Board         1         3         2         6         86%           Totals         38         42         24         45         42         30         27         43         53         35         26         42         30         477	H. Randall Stoke	Antonovich	2	2	1	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	29	81%
Clayton R. Anderson Board 1 3 2 6 86%  Totals 38 42 24 45 42 30 27 43 53 35 26 42 30 477	Jeanne L. Kennedy	Board							1	3	3	3				10	83%
Totals 38 42 24 45 42 30 27 43 53 35 26 42 30 477	Benjamin F. Breslauer	Board	2	2	1	3	2	2								12	
	Clayton R. Anderson	Board											1	3	2	6	86%
	Totals		38	42	24	45	42	30	27	43	53	35					

Average Attendance per Meeting ----> 13.3